UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/550,770	06/06/2006	Yoshihiro Hashimoto	1716877	1815	
24240 CHAPMAN AN	7590 01/29/201 ND CUTLER	EXAMINER			
111 WEST MO	NROE STREET	DOBSON, DANIEL G			
CHICAGO, IL	00003		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2613		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/29/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/550,770	HASHIMOTO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
	/ •		

	DANIEL G. DOBSON	٧	2613	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover she	et with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>19 January 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE T			-	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to application, applicant must timely file one of the follo application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with periods:	or on the same day as filing wing replies: (1) an amendr Appeal (with appeal fee) in	g a Notice of A ment, affidavit n compliance v	Appeal. To avoid abar , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	xpire later than SIX MONTHS f (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (06.07(f).	rom the mailing (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date construction in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7 NOTICE OF APPEAL	of extension and the correspo of the shortened statutory period e later than three months after	nding amount o d for reply origir	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	extension thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	Cara la Caralanda (la alaca a	CONTRACTOR	20 1 b 1 c 1 b -	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a)	er consideration and/or sea Ebelow);	rch (see NOT	E below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application	in better form for appeal by	materially red	ucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceli NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.3	-	r of finally reje	cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CF		ce of Non-Con	npliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti			(.	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s how the new or amended claims would be rejected i The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: .				
Claim(s) objected to Claim(s) rejected: <u>5,6,10,17,20,23 and 26-29</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-4,7-9,11-1</u>	<u>6.18.19.21.22.24.25</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of entered because the affidavit or other evidence faile showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is nece 	d to overcome <u>all</u> rejections	under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An expla REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	nation of the status of the c	claims after en	try is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been consider	ed but does NOT place the	application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statemer</i>13. ☐ Other:	nt(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No	o(s)		
/Kenneth N Vanderpuye/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2613				

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Independent Claims 5 and 10 are amended to include limitations substantially similar to "into which the modulating signal or the DC bias without superposing of the low frequency electrical signal is applied." These limitations raise new issues which require further consideration and/or search.